STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MARIJUANA REGULATORY AGENCY

In the Matter of

Klean Herbal Solutions, LLC dba Stash Detroit

ERG No.: 000459

License No.: PC-000339

ENF No.: 21-00317

FORMAL COMPLAINT

The Marijuana Regulatory Agency ("Complainant") files this formal complaint

against Klean Herbal Solutions, LLC dba Stash Detroit ("Respondent") alleging upon

information and belief as follows:

1. The Marijuana Regulatory Agency (MRA) is authorized under the Medical

Marihuana Facilities Licensing Act (MMFLA), MCL 333.27101 et seq., and Executive

Reorganization Order No.2019-2, MCL 333.27001, to investigate alleged violations of the

MMFLA and the administrative rules promulgated thereunder, take disciplinary action to

prevent such violations, and impose fines and other sanctions against applicants and

licensees that violate the MMFLA or administrative rules.

2. Section 402(12) of the MMFLA provides that the expiration of a license does not

terminate the MRA's authority to impose sanctions on the license.

3. Section 206(c) of the MMFLA provides that the administrative rules must ensure

the health, safety, and security of the public and integrity of the marihuana facility

operations.

4. Respondent's conduct as described below is a risk to public health and safety

and/or the integrity of marihuana facility operations.

MARIJUANA REGULATORY AGENCY 2407 NORTH GRAND RIVER • P.O. BOX 30205 • LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909 www.michigan.gov/MRA

CMP No.: 21-000691

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS AND INTENDED ACTION OF THE MRA

5. Respondent holds an active state operating license under the MMFLA to operate

a medical marihuana provisioning center in the state of Michigan.

6. Respondent operated at 10015 W. Fort St., Detroit, Michigan 48209, at all times

relevant to this complaint.

7. Following an investigation, the MRA determined that Respondent violated the

MMFLA and/or administrative rules promulgated thereunder as set forth below:

a. On April 21, 2021, the MRA received a complaint stating Respondent's

employees were smoking in an upstairs area connected to the facility.

b. While investigating the complaint on May 11, 2021, the MRA visited

Respondent's facility and discovered an upstairs unit being used by

Respondent that was not inspected nor included in the floor plan submitted

to the MRA in violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.802(3), which states

licensees shall report to the agency any proposed material changes to the

marihuana business before making a material change that may require prior

authorization by the agency. Material changes, include, but are not limited

to, the following: (g) Any change or modification to the marihuana business

before or after licensure that was not preinspected, inspected, or part of the

marihuana business location plan or final inspection, including, but not

limited to, all of the following: (i) Operational or method changes requiring

inspection under these rules.

c. On May 19, 2021, the MRA made a follow-up visit to the facility and

discovered cigarette butts and partially smoked marijuana product on the

floor of the upstairs unit in violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.203(2),

which states a licensee shall comply with all of the following: (b) A

marihuana business shall not allow onsite or as part of the marihuana

business any of the following: (ii) Consumption, use, or inhalation of a

MARIJUANA REGULATORY AGENCY 2407 NORTH GRAND RIVER • P.O. BOX 30205 • LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909

- marihuana product unless the licensee has been granted a designated consumption establishment or temporary marihuana event license under the Michigan regulation and taxation of marihuana act, and these rules.
- d. On May 19, 2021, the MRA went back to Respondent's facility to pick up video footage from May 11, 2021. While there, the MRA did not see cameras/video surveillance anywhere inside the upstairs unit. The upstairs unit was also not included in the security plan as an area subject to video surveillance coverage. While reviewing the May 11, 2021 video footage, the MRA observed Respondent's employees placing boxes of marijuana product and Ziploc bags containing marijuana product inside the door of the upstairs unit.
- e. Because Respondent had no video surveillance in the upstairs unit where marijuana product was being moved and stored, Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.209(5), which states a licensee shall have a video surveillance system that, at a minimum, consists of digital or network video recorders, cameras capable of meeting the recording requirements in this rule, video monitors, digital archiving devices, and a color printer capable of delivering still photos.
- f. Respondent is also in violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.209(6), which states a licensee shall ensure the video surveillance system does all the following: (a) Records, at a minimum, the following areas: (i) Any areas where marihuana products are weighed, packed, stored, loaded, and unloaded for transportation, prepared, or moved within the marihuana business.
- g. The May 11, 2021 video footage showed Respondent's employees carrying Ziploc bags containing untagged marijuana product inside the door of the upstairs unit. Respondent later explained the Ziploc bags were unaccounted for marijuana product left over by previous management after their position at the facility was terminated.
- h. Respondent was in possession of untagged marijuana product, which is a violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.505(2), which states a marihuana

sales location shall enter all transactions, current inventory, and other information required by these rules in the statewide monitoring system in

compliance with the acts and these rules.

i. Respondent being in possession of untagged marijuana product is also a

violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.210(1), which states except for

designated consumption establishments or temporary marihuana events

licensed under the Michigan regulation and taxation of marihuana act, a

marihuana business must not have marihuana products that are not

identified and recorded in the statewide monitoring system pursuant to

these rules.

j. Additionally, Respondent being in possession of untagged marijuana

product is a violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.210(2), which states

except for a designated consumption establishment or temporary

marihuana event licensed under the Michigan regulation and taxation of

marihuana act, a marihuana business must not have any marihuana

product without a batch number or identification tag or label pursuant to

these rules. A licensee shall immediately tag, identify, or record as part of

a batch in the statewide monitoring system any marihuana product as

provided in these rules.

k. Lastly, Respondent being in possession of untagged marijuana product is

a violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.212(1), which states in part, all

marihuana products must be identified and tracked consistently in the

statewide monitoring system under these rules.

THEREFORE, based on the above, the MRA gives notice of its intent to impose fines

and/or other sanctions against Respondent's license, which may include the suspension,

revocation, restriction, and/or refusal to renew Respondent's license.

Under MCL 333.27407(4) and Mich Admin Code, R 420.704(2), any party aggrieved

by an action of the MRA suspending, revoking, restricting, or refusing to renew a license,

or imposing a fine, shall be given a hearing upon request. A request for a hearing must

be submitted to the MRA in writing within 21 days after service of this complaint. Notice

MARIJUANA REGULATORY AGENCY 2407 NORTH GRAND RIVER • P.O. BOX 30205 • LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909 www.michigan.gov/MRA

served by certified mail is considered complete on the business day following the date of

the mailing.

Respondent also has the right to request a compliance conference under Mich Admin

Code, R 420.704(1) A compliance conference is an informal meeting at which

Respondent has the opportunity to discuss the allegations in this complaint and

demonstrate compliance under the MMFLA and/or the administrative rules. A compliance

conference request must be submitted to the MRA in writing.

Hearing and compliance conference requests must be submitted in writing by one of

the following methods:

By Mail:

Department of Licensing & Regulatory Affairs

Marijuana Regulatory Agency

P.O. Box 30205

Lansing, Michigan 48909

In Person:

Department of Licensing & Regulatory Affairs

Marijuana Regulatory Agency

2407 North Grand River Lansing, Michigan 48906

By Email:

MRA-LegalHearings@michigan.gov

If Respondent fails to timely respond to this formal complaint, a contested case

hearing will be scheduled to resolve this matter.

Questions about this complaint should be directed to the Marijuana Regulatory

Agency at (517) 284-8599 or MRA-LegalHearings@michigan.gov.

Dated:			
--------	--	--	--

By:

Claire Patterson, Manager

Scientific and Legal Section Manager

Enforcement Division

Marijuana Regulatory Agency

In the Matter of

Klean Herbal Solutions, LLC dba Stash Detroit

ERG No.: 000459

License No.: PC-000339 ENF No.: 21-00317

I

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on	, I mailed a copy of the
Formal Complaint dated	in the above captioned case
by certified mail (return receipt requested) to:	

Klean Herbal Solutions, LLC dba Stash Detroit 11236 Baraga St. Taylor, Michigan 48180

Abby Rae Brooks
Departmental Technician
Marijuana Regulatory Agency
Department of Licensing & Regulatory
Affairs

CMP No.: 21-000691